### Carnegie Mellon University Language Technologies Institute

# Multi-view Subword Regularization

Xinyi Wang<sup>1</sup> Sebastian Ruder<sup>2</sup> Graham Neubig<sup>1</sup>

Language Technologies Institute, CMU
DeepMind



## Multilingual Pretrained Models



- \* Zero-shot cross-lingual transfer: fine-tune model on English, generalize to other languages
- \* Utilize a single subword vocabulary constructed from monolingual data in hundreds of languages
- \* These models suffer from **suboptimal subword segmentation**

English, generalize to other languages n monolingual data in hundreds of languages **nentation** 

### Subword Segmentation is Suboptimal



\* Many low-resource languages tend to be over-segmented

## Subword Segmentation is Suboptimal

#### excitement en **de** Auf/re/gung εν/θ/ουσι/ασμό el

Table. XLM-R segmentation of "excitement" in different languages

#### Mismatch in segmentation could harm cross-lingual transfer

	fr	excita/tion
	pt	excita/ção
ς	ru	волн/ение

## Subword Segmentation is Suboptimal

- \* Existing methods
  - \* Embed words using characters (Ma et. al. 2020)
  - \* Separately construct subword segmentation for each language cluster (Chung et. al. 2020)
  - \* Add a phrase-level segmentation (Zhang et. al. 2020)
- \* Modifying subword vocabulary requires retraining the large language model
- \* What is a **computationally efficient approach** for this problem at **fine-tuning** time?



### Always segment **Excitement -> Excite/ment**

- Deterministic segmentation
  - \* Byte-pair encoding (BPE; Sennrich et. al. 2016)
  - \* Unigram language model (ULM; Kudo et. al. 2018)

### Background: Subword Segmentation

### Samples from segments **Excitement -> Excitement** -> Excite/ment -> Exc/ite/ment

- Probabilistic segmentation
  - \* BPE-dropout (Provikov et. al. 2020)
  - \* ULM-sample (Kudo et. al. 2018)

### Background: Subword Segmentation

- \* Simply use probabilistic segmentation during training time
- \* Has only been applied in NMT to improve model performance and robustness

### Background: Subword Regularization

### Subword Regularization for Cross-lingual Transfer

- \* We propose to use SR at fine-tuning time of multilingual pertained models
- \* It's a simple method but could make the model more accommodating to segmentation disparities in different languages
- \* However, might cause segmentation discrepancy between pretraining and fine-tuning





- \* Use both deterministically and probabilistically segmented inputs
- \* Enforce the prediction consistency between the two inputs

ically segmented inputs n the two inputs



#### \* Deterministic seg. CE: maximizes the benefit of pretraining



#### \* Probabilistic seg. CE: allows the model see different segmentations



\* robustness to segmentation of multilingual data

Consistency loss: enforces the model to make consistent prediction, which improves the

### Experiments

- \* XTREME tasks (Hu et. al. 2020)
  - \* Tagging: NER
  - Classification: XNLI, PAWS-X
  - \* QA: XQuAD, MLQA
- \* Model
  - \* mBERT
  - \* XLM-R base, large





### Results





- \* Removing any of the components hurts performance

### Ablations

\* Det. Seg CE has large effect on QA probably because prob. seg clashes with span extraction



### Latin vs. non-Latin script



Figure. Improvements over baseline for Latin vs. non-Latin languages

Both MVR and SR improve more for non-Latin languages 

### Effect on over-segmentation



\* MVR tends to improve more for words segmented into large number of pieces



#### Figure. XLM-R large gains over NER baseline

### Effect of consistency loss



- Consistency loss helps examples with higher entropy •
- \* Label smoothing effect: calibrate the two predictions against each other

Figure. mBERT gains over NER baseline

### Effect of consistency loss



Baseline dist. to ensemble

Figure. Full MVR is closer to ensemble distribution

- SR models
- \* Ensemble effect: Consistency loss shifts model prediction closer to the ensemble

\* Languages colored by the method leading to closer distribution to the ensemble of baseline and



## Effect on English



\* SR sometimes harm the performance of English, especially on XLM-R large \* MVR generally improves over the baseline and SR on English

Figure. Gains of MVR and SR for English

### Conclusion

- \* Deterministic word segmentation is **sub-optimal** for multilingual pretraiend models
- \* Simple subword regularization at fine-tuning can improve performance \* Multi-view Subword Regularization further brings consistent improvements

- \* Questions/comments: <u>xinyiw1@cs.cmu.edu</u>

\* Code: <u>https://github.com/cindyxinyiwang/multiview-subword-regularization</u>

